

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,602	12/30/2003	James T. Kenny	3101-1	8670
7590 11/23/2005			EXAMINER	
Basil E. Deme	eur		LAYNO, B	ENJAMIN
Knechtel, Deme	eur & Samlan		<u></u>	
130 S. Oak Park Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Oak Park, IL 60302			3711	

DATE MAILED: 11/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ϵ	
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/748,602	KENNY ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Benjamin H. Layno	3711	
Period f	- The MAILING DATE of this communication apports and the communica	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address -	
WHIII - External control contr	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D ansions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute treply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' e, cause the application to become AB	CATION. apply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	·		•	
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 0	October 2005.		
2a)⊠		s action is non-final.		
3)	<i>'</i> —		ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under	•	•	
Disposit	tion of Claims			
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Applicat	tion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to t	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, ,	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	` ' '	
11)∐	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E.	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
riority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Ap	pplication No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ority documents have been	received in this National Stage	
	application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list			

Attachment(s)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: ____.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,602 Page 2

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's arguments filed 10/7/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The rejections follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 4, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Webb.

The patent to Webb discloses a method of playing a poker wagering game between a dealer and at least one player. Webb discloses an embodiment wherein four cards are dealt to each player, and four cards are dealt to the dealer, col. 8, lines 45-46. Webb's game uses a standard deck of 52 playing cards. A published ranking of winning hands ranked from highest to lowest, along with a predetermined pay scale for winning hands is provided, col. 6, lines 20-55. The dealer must achieve a predetermined minimum hand ranking of "queen high hand or better", or the dealer loses after all cards are dealt, col. 7, lines 36-37. A player has the option of placing a first wager "ANTE" against the dealer, and/or placing a separate voluntary wager "PAIR PLUS" against the predetermined pay scale, col. 6, lines 1-18. The game ends if the dealer fails to achieve a qualifying predetermined hand ranking "queen high hand or better". The game also ends if the player place a "PAIR PLUS" wager and achieves a published ranking

Art Unit: 3711

winning hand. If the dealer achieves the qualifying predetermined hand ranking, play proceeds, and the player places a third support wager "PLAY" in support of the first wager "ANTE" against the dealer, col. 7, lines 10-17. The player's best four card hand is compared to the dealer's best four card hand to determine relative ranking, and if the player's hand outranks the dealer's hand, the player is paid an amount based on the first wager and third wager, col. 7, lines 36-40. If the player's hand achieves a rank at least as high as the first ranking from the published ranking, the player is paid according to the pay scale, col. 7, lines 44-60. If the player fails to achieve at least the minimum ranking from the published ranking, the dealer inherently takes the player's "PAIR PLUS" wager. If the dealer's hand outranks the player's hand, the player's first wager "ANTE" and third support wager "PLAY" are taken by the dealer, furthermore, if the player's hand is the same as the dealer's hand, the player's first wager "ANTE" and third support wager "PLAY" are returned to the player, col. 7, lines 40-43.

In regard to claim 3, Webb discloses an embodiment for a five-card version of Webb's game, col. 8, lines 45-46.

Concerning claim 4, Webb discloses providing an additional side bet including an additional optional progressive wager for a six-card progression (which at least a five-card progression), a progressive jackpot bet. This progressive jackpot bet has a predetermined published ranking "ace to nine in the same suit", col. 9, lines 13-20.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,602 Page 4

Art Unit: 3711

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Webb.

As recited above, the dealer must achieve "queen high hand or better" to qualify. However, determining exactly what the predetermined minimum hand ranking that the dealer must achieve in order to continue play (e.g. king high or better, Ace-Queen or better, queen high or better, etc.) would have simply been a casino business decision that is always obvious in the art.

5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Webb as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Scott et al.

The patent to Scott et al. teaches that it is known to provide a bad beat wager in a poker wagering game, in case the player's hand is outranked by the dealer's hand. Scott et al. also includes a published ranking of winning bad beat hands, col. 6, line 54 to col. 7, line19. In view of such teaching, it would have been obvious to incorporate a bad beat wager and bad beat published ranking to Webb's game. This modification would have given losing player another chance at receiving a payout, thus making Webb's game more attractive.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,602

Art Unit: 3711

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 5

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin H. Layno whose telephone number is (571) 272-4424. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 1st Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eugene Kim can be reached on (571)272-4463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,602 Page 6

Art Unit: 3711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Benjamin H. Layn

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3711

bhl